

## OPINION B



Iryna MARTYNIUK<sup>1</sup>

e-mail: martirene@ukr.net

Inna IVANOVA<sup>2</sup>

e-mail: martirene@ukr.net

Yulia TSYMBAL<sup>3</sup>

e-mail: hozratkulovayulia@gmail.com

Kateryna H. YAKUSHKO<sup>4</sup>


e-mail: kyakushko@nubip.edu.ua

Iryna KOCHETKOVA<sup>5</sup>

e-mail: kiv20@ukr.net

### How to reference this paper:

MARTYNIUK, I.; IVANOVA, I.; TSYMBAL, Y.; YAKUSHKO, K. H.; KOCHETKOVA, I. Higher education in Ukraine: Analysis of global challenges of the 21st century. **Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023033, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18379>



---

**Editor:** Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes  
**Deputy Executive Editor:** Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

---

<sup>1</sup> National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv – Ukraine. Docent, Head of Department of Psychology, Faculty of Humanities and Pedagogy. Ph.D. in Psychology.

<sup>2</sup> National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv – Ukraine. Post-Graduated Student, Department of Psychology, Faculty of Humanities and Pedagogy.

<sup>3</sup> Dragomanov National Pedagogical University, Kyiv – Ukraine. Postgraduate, Department of English, Faculty of Foreign Philology.

<sup>4</sup> National University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Department of English for Technical and Agrobiological Specialties, Faculty of Humanities and Pedagogy.

<sup>5</sup> Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Department of Geography of Ukraine, Faculty of Geography, Ph.D. in Geography.

**Opinion** - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, in addition to the mandatory changes, authors are strongly encouraged to accept proposed suggestions for a better final product, which is more complete and in accordance with the scope of the journal.

**NOTE:** All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red. These need to be done for the text to be approved.

**WARNING:** ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.

The article fits the scope of the journal and addresses the proposed topic in a broad and well-developed way. However, the text presents problems that need to be corrected for the possibility of publication, especially in the division of the text and development of separate topics, which do not appear in the text. There are specifications below and suggestions of what needs to be modified, as well as suggestions for changes in the text as well as notes (marked in the text with comments) about standardizations that need to be observed by the authors.

**Abstract:** The abstract is well developed in general, however it lacks mentions of what is concluded and proposed at the end of the article, it is highly recommended to include this type of information in the abstract, as its function is to inform the author of all topics and issues addressed in the text of generic form.

**Translation and text comprehension:** At some points in the text there are translation inconsistencies that need to be revised, repeated words, redundancies. There are no very serious errors, except for the conclusion, which presents a sentence, apparently, repeated, maybe some translation error or missing words, making that part of the text difficult to understand and leaving a possible loss of what the authors wanted to say (this sentence was marked in the text comments).

**Introduction and Results:** There is a more elaborate presentation of results in one sentence of the abstract than in the paper. In the text, the introduction 'ate' all the rest of the text, leaving the presentation of results indistinguishable and poorly elaborated. It is necessary that the authors modify the text, including a section of results, as well as presented in the abstract (at least a section of discussion of the proposals and observations made) separate from the introduction. The introduction should be a brief presentation of the theme in general to be addressed, as well as the questions and possible approaches to be used by the authors.

**Methodology:** The text talks briefly about the methods it uses to do the work, however there is no explanation of the connection of the methods or why they were used, there is no methodology section in the text. It is necessary that the authors develop the methodology, with its preferred separate chapter, briefly explaining which methods are used, why these methods are used (how they connect with the observations made and desired results), it is also important to cite the authors who are the basis for analysis methods.

**Conclusion:** The conclusion appears well developed, but it may be advisable to add to it based on the changes required for approval of the text.

**References:** There are errors that need to be modified in the references, all of which were highlighted in the comments sent in the text.

*I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!*