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**Opinion** - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, in addition to the mandatory changes, authors are strongly encouraged to accept proposed suggestions for a better final product, which is more complete and in accordance with the scope of the journal.

The article fits the scope of the journal and addresses the proposed theme in a broad and well-developed way, however it has some presentation problems that are listed below and also exposed in the form of comments in the returned text.

**NOTE:** *All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red, these need to be done for the text to be approved.*

**WARNING:** *ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.*

**Abstract:** The abstract is well developed in general, but exceeds 150 words, it needs to be shortened respecting the word limit.

**General:** In general, the text is very well worked, both in the English language and in the exposition of the theme, the proposed analyzes and the exposition of the results, but there is trouble for reading due to the extensive use of subtitles throughout the text, it is recommended that for better reading, the subtitles are removed from the subtitle format and included in the paragraphs that they present as a brief introduction that would link it with the previous section of the text (all subtitles can be removed), this facilitates reading of the text, in addition to making the connection between the sections presented clearer.

There are many inconsistencies in the citations and forms that were used throughout the text, observe all the points where authors' names were cited in the body of the text and adapt the form of these citations.

**Methodology:** The methodology is well developed by presenting not only the method used, but its relevance and connection with the form of approach used, but there is no citation to any author of the proposed methodologies, which is highly recommended in a scientific work.
**Conclusion:** The conclusion is well developed, but it is presented in the form of brief topics, it is recommended that the topics be changed to paragraphs and continuous text, also opening the possibility, thus, of making the authors' voice more present in the conclusion, in addition to being indicated that when turning a topic into a paragraph, a greater connection is made between the conclusion and the text, as if each completed approach made could have a brief introductory sentence connecting it with what was exposed in the rest of the text, it is important to see the conclusion not only as an exposition of results, but as a brief resumption of the most important that was worked on throughout the text followed by the conclusion.

**References:** There are errors that need to be modified in the references, such as references that do not appear in the text, references that do not mention the names of all the authors involved, all of which were highlighted in the comments sent in the text.

*I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!*