

## OPINION B



Illja DEVTEROV<sup>1</sup>  
e-mail: devteros@gmail.com  
Andrii KUZHELNYI<sup>2</sup>  
e-mail: profsportukraine74@gmail.com  
Petro ZINYCH<sup>3</sup>  
e-mail: mpznych@ukr.net  
Serhii KUZHELNYI<sup>4</sup>  
e-mail: 26021997sk@gmail.com  
Mykola SANAKUIEV<sup>5</sup>  
e-mail: nsanakuev@gmail.com

### How to reference this paper:

DEVTEROV, I.; KUZHELNYI, A.; ZINYCH, P.; KUZHELNYI, S.; SANAKUIEV, M. Unified educational information space: Dilemmas of implementation, ways of optimization. **Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023035, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18381>



---

**Editor:** Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes  
**Deputy Executive Editor:** Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

---

<sup>1</sup> Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv – Ukraine. Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Institute of Innovative Education.

<sup>2</sup> T.H. Shevchenko National University “Chernihiv Colehium”, Chernihiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Faculty of physical education. PhD in Pedagogy.

<sup>3</sup> Kyiv National University of Construction and Architecture, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Head of the Civil Engineering Department of the Separate Structural Unit, Institute of Innovative Education. PhD. in Engineering.

<sup>4</sup> T.H. Shevchenko National University “Chernihiv Colehium”, Chernihiv – Ukraine. Postgraduate.

<sup>5</sup> V. I. Vernadsky National Library of Ukraine, Kyiv – Ukraine. Senior researcher. PhD. Philosophical Sciences.

**Opinion** - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, in addition to the mandatory changes, authors are strongly encouraged to accept proposed suggestions for a better final product, which is more complete and in accordance with the scope of the journal.

The article fits the scope of the journal and addresses the proposed theme in a broad and well-developed way, but it has some presentation and writing problems that are listed below and also exposed in the form of comments in the returned text.

**NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red, these need to be done for the text to be approved.**

**WARNING: ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.**

**Abstract and authors' information:** The abstract is well developed in general, however it makes no mention of the methods used in the work, it is important that all points of the text are briefly addressed during the abstract, including the methodology.

**Translation and text comprehension: Although well written in general, some parts of the text have serious writing problems that make it impossible to understand, it is necessary to modify the highlighted parts or remove them from the text, as they are written in English, it is not possible to understand.**

In addition, throughout the text the use of the authors' names in the body of the text was done incorrectly, an example and explanation of how to use it was placed in the comments, but all citations in the text need to be revised. It is also recommended a general proofreading of the English in the text, aiming to improve the writing and translation to avoid loss of meaning.

**Methodology:** The methodology is well developed by presenting not only the method used, but its relevance and connection with the form of approach used, but there is no citation to any author of the proposed methodologies, which is highly recommended in a scientific work.

**Conclusion:** The conclusion is well developed, but it is presented in the form of brief topics, it is recommended that the topics be changed to paragraphs and continuous text, also opening the

possibility, thus, of making the authors' voice more present in the conclusion, in addition to being indicated that when turning a topic into a paragraph, a greater connection is made between the conclusion and the text, as if each completed approach made could have a brief introductory sentence connecting it with what was exposed in the rest of the text, it is important to see the conclusion not only as an exposition of results, but as a brief resumption of the most important that was worked on throughout the text followed by the conclusion.

**References: There are errors that need to be modified in the references, such as references that do not appear in the text, references that do not mention the names of all the authors involved, all of which were highlighted in the comments sent in the text.**

*I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!*