

## OPINION B



Andriy PAVLIV<sup>1</sup>

e-mail: andrii.pavliv@lpnu.ua



Mariia HEREHA<sup>2</sup>

e-mail: mariagerega787@gmail.com



Inna PETROVA<sup>3</sup>

e-mail: petrovainna1975iv@gmail.com



Olena TYKHONIUK<sup>4</sup>

e-mail: olena\_olena@ukr.net



Roksolyana KVASNYTSYA<sup>5</sup>

email: roksoliana.b.kvasnytsia@lpnu.ua

### How to reference this paper:

PAVLIV, A.; HEREHA, M.; PETROVA, I.; TYKHONIUK, O.; KVASNYTSYA, R. Creation of a unified system of higher art schools in the EU: The role of Ukraine. **Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023036, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18382>



---

**Editor:** Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes  
**Deputy Executive Editor:** Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

---

<sup>1</sup> Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Professor at the Department of Design and Architecture Fundamentals. Doctor of Architecture Sciences.

<sup>2</sup> Lviv National Musik Akademy named after Mykola Lysenko, Lviv – Ukraine. Professor, Head of Department of General and Specialized Piano. PhD in Arts History.

<sup>3</sup> Mykhailo Boichuk Kyiv State Academy of Decorative Applied Arts and Design, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Professor of the Department of Graphic Design. Doctor of Historical Sciences.

<sup>4</sup> National Academy of Fine Arts and Architecture, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor of the Department of Design.

<sup>5</sup> Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv – Ukraine. Senior Lecturer of the Department of Design and Fundamentals of Architecture. PhD in Arts History.

**Opinion** - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, in addition to the mandatory changes, authors are strongly encouraged to accept proposed suggestions for a better final product, which is more complete and in accordance with the scope and quality of the journal.

The article fits the scope of the journal and addresses the proposed theme in a broad and well-developed way, but it has some presentation and writing problems that are listed below and also exposed in the form of comments in the returned text.

**NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red, these need to be done for the text to be approved.**

**WARNING: ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.**

**Abstract:** The abstract must present information about the whole article without focusing only on presenting what was found in the work, it must be a small presentation of all the points of the work, what is presented in this text is very focused on analyzes and conclusions, without addressing clearly the methodology or even introduce the theme of the article, just presenting its purpose in an objective and analytical way, the recommendation is a complete rewrite of the abstract.

**Translation and text comprehension: Despite being well written in general, some parts of the text have serious writing problems that make understanding impossible, it is necessary to revise and rework what was highlighted in the text, since the way in which the demarcated conceptualization was presented was not understandable in English, suggestions are made in the form of text comments.**

In general, there are small slips and flaws in the translation that could be revised as well, it is recommended a proofreading of the text with a focus on making the writing in English clearer.

**References: There are errors that need to be modified in the references, references that do not mention the names of all the authors involved, all of which were highlighted in the comments sent in the text.**

*I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!*