



## **OPINION A**



Andriy PAVLIV<sup>1</sup> e-mail: andrii.p.pavliv@lpnu.ua Mariia HEREHA<sup>2</sup> e-mail: mariagerega787@gmail.com Inna PETROVA<sup>3</sup> e-mail: petrovainna1975iv@gmail.com Olena TYKHONIUK<sup>4</sup> e-mail: olena olena@ukr.net Roksolyana KVASNYTSYA<sup>5</sup> email: roksoliana.b.kvasnytsia@lpnu.ua

## How to reference this paper:

PAVLIV, A.; HEREHA, M.; PETROVA, I.; TYKHONIUK, O.; KVASNYTSYA, R. Creation of a unified system of higher art schools in the EU: The role of Ukraine. Política e Gestão **Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023036, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18382



Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

Política e Gestão Educacional, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023036, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18382 (cc) BY-NC-SA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Professor at the Department of Design and Architecture Fundamentals. Doctor of Architecture Sciences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Lviv National Musik Akademy named after Mykola Lysenko, Lviv – Ukraine. Professor, Head of Department of General and Specialized Piano. PhD in Arts History.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Mykhailo Boichuk Kyiv State Academy of Decorative Applied Arts and Design, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Professor of the Department of Graphic Design. Doctor of Historical Sciences.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> National Academy of Fine Arts and Architecture, Kyiv – Ukraine. Associate Professor of the Department of Design.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Lviv Polytechnic National University, Lviv – Ukraine. Senior Lecturer of the Department of Design and Fundamentals of Architecture. PhD in Arts History.

## Criteria:

NA - Does not answer

AP - Partially meets

AT – Fully Meets

	Quali	ty dimension I - COGNITIVE				
S	Sequential and logical chaining of the content of scientific ideas.					
EVALUATION ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (NA / AP / AT)			
A) Originality and relevance of the topic	1. Originality	Answer when: the article brings original ideas that have not yet been presented on the subject. [1]	AT			
	The article meets the criteria.					
	2. Relevance	Attends when: the topic is important for the context in which it is inserted. <b>[two]</b>	AT			
	The article meets th	e criteria.				
B) Introduction	3. Research	Answer when: the introduction makes the				
or presentation	context	research theme clear, presents the studies that addressed the problem or similar research and points out the gap that the research covers.	AT			
	The article meets the	e criteria.				
	4. The research problem and objectives	Attends when: the introduction makes clear what the research question is or the hypotheses (if applicable) and the general and/or specific objectives are consonant	AT			
		with the research question.				
	The article meets the	e criteria.				
C) Adequacy of	5. Adaptation to	Meets when: the theoretical framework is				
the theoretical framework	the context	relevant to the topic and consonant with the listed objectives.	AT			
	The article meets th	e criteria.				
	6. Relevance and contribution to the research analysis	Meets when: the theoretical framework is presented in sufficient quantity and quality for the research construct and the ideas are presented in sufficient depth for the study in question.	AT			
	The article meets th		I.			
D) Results	7. Consistency of results	Meets when: presents coherence of results with the objective of the research, with the theoretical framework and with the methodology.	AT			
	The article meets the					
	8. Accuracy of results	Attends when: it presents correct verification of the data and the results denote that there is no fabrication or falsification of data.	AT			
	The article meets th	e criteria.				

E) Discussions	9. Dialogue with	Meets when: the discussions present a	
	the theoretical	coherent correlation with the theoretical	AT
	framework	framework.	
	The article meets the		
	10. Dialogue with	Meets when: the discussions are coherently	
	the presented	correlated with the results presented.	AT
	results		
	The article meets th	e criteria.	
			1
F) Conclusions	11. Answer to the	Meets when: Conclusions provide an	
	question and	answer to the research question and	AT
	objectives	objectives.	
	The article meets th	e criteria.	1
	12. Authorship	Meets when: presents authorial closure	
	and self-	without repeating previous excerpts from	AP
	assessment at	the article and pointing out limitations of	Ai
	conclusion	the research itself and future research.	
		ets the requirements, but fails to mention the lin	mitations of the research
		ne importance of future research in the area.	
		limension II - METHODOLOGY	
	Precise descrip	tion of the methods and techniques use	d.
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL	PERFORMANCE
		DESCRIPTOR MEETS	(NA / AP / AT)
G) Adequacy of	13. Check if	Meets when: the title specifies more	
the title,	there is	generally the content of the work. The	
abstract and	coherence in the	subtitle (if any) is a technical title more	
keywords	title, if it reflects	related to the theme. [3] Another possible	AT
	the work	approach is when the title refers to the	
		theoretical object and the subtitle, to the	
		empirical object.	
	The article meets th	e criteria.	
	14. Check if	Meets when: the abstract presents objective,	
	there is	methodology, results and conclusions in a	
	coherence in the	coherent way with the work. The choice of	
	abstract and in	keywords is in line with the content of the	AT
	the choice of	article and the area.	
	keywords with		
	the work		
	The article meets th	e criteria.	
H) Description	15. Description	Meets when: the methodology is well	
and adequacy	of the	described and explains the form of data	AT
of the	methodology	collection (if applicable) and analysis.	AI
methodology			
	The article meets the	e criteria.	
	16. Adequacy of	Attends when: the methodology is coherent	
	the methodology	with the theory and consistent with the	AT
		results.	
	The article meets th		
	Quality	dimension III – <b>AESTHETICS</b>	
	Wri	ting, form and normalization.	
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL	PERFORMANCE
		DESCRIPTOR MEETS	(NA / AP / AT)
I) Rules and	17. Presentation	Complies when: there is compliance with	
language	of the article	the rules regarding citations, references,	A T
	within the norms	presentation of illustrations and tables (title	AT
		and source).	
	The article meets the	and source).	

	18. Presentation of the text in the language	Answer when: the text presents accentuation and correct spelling of words; noun and verbal agreement; correct arrangement of words and connection between sentences or paragraphs (cohesion); logical relationship of the presented ideas (coherence); avoids the repetition in the text of what is already written in the illustrations and tables.	AT
	The article meets the	e criteria.	
J) Presentation	19. Illustrations and tables	Meets when: illustrations (graphs, charts, images, figures, maps) and tables have adequate size and legibility for reading.	AT
C l	The article meets th	e criteria.	

FINAL RECOMMENDATION				
Mark an X in your option.				
X	ACCEPT			
X	REQUEST SMALL MODIFICATIONS			
	REQUEST BIG MODIFICATIONS			
	REJECT			
	FINAL OPINION			
	The article is well structured, deals with education and discusses the topic in a pertinent way.			
	It is recommended publication, with minor modifications, such as the inclusion of suggestions,			
	signaling future research in the area.			

<sup>[1] &</sup>quot;Originality does not mean novelty. Originality concerns going back to the origins, thus making explicit an original explanation of the subject, hitherto unnoticed" (SEVERINO, 2002, p. 148).
[2] A theme is relevant when it comes to "a significant statement, especially if it is important for the global meaning of the context in which it is found" (ABBAGNANO, 2012, p. 997).