

OPINION A



Mariya VORNYK¹

e-mail: m.vornyk@ukr.net

Olga TRUBITSYNA²

e-mail: o.m.trubitsyna@ukr.net

Viktoriia CHEREDNYCHENKO³

e-mail: chevika13@gmail.com

Dariia PUSTOVOICHENKO⁴

e-mail: bacchante3@gmail.com

Uliana BARKAR⁵

e-mail: uliana.palivoda@gmail.com

How to reference this paper:

VORNYK, M.; TRUBITSYNA, O.; CHEREDNYCHENKO, V.; PUSTOVOICHENKO, D.; BARKAR, U. On the issue of improving the level of communicative competence of higher education students in foreign language classes. **Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023038, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18384>



Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes

Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

¹ Khmelnytskyi Humanitarian and Pedagogical Academy, Khmelnytskyi – Ukraine. Vice-Rector of International Affairs, Assistant Professor, Foreign Languages Department, The Faculty of Primary Education and Philology. Ph.D. in Pedagogical Sciences.

² South-Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K. D. Ushynsky, Odessa – Ukraine. Associate Professor, Department of the Germanic Philology and Methods of Teaching Foreign Languages, Faculty of foreign languages. Ph.D. in Pedagogical Sciences.

³ V. O. Sukhomlinskyi National University of Mykolaiv, Mykolaiv – Ukraine. Senior Instructor, Department of German Philology, Faculty of Philology. Doctor of Philosophy.

⁴ Mykolaiv V.O.Sukhomlinskyi National University, Mykolaiv – Ukraine. Senior teacher, Department of Germanic Philology, Faculty of Philology.

⁵ V.O.Sukhomlinskyi National University of Mykolaiv, Mykolaiv – Ukraine. Department of German Philology, Faculty of Philology. Ph.D. in Philology.

Criteria:

NA – Does not meet

AP – Partially Meets

AT – Fully Meets

Quality dimension I - COGNITIVE Sequential and logical chaining of the content of scientific ideas.			
EVALUATIVE ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (AT /AP/AT)
A) Originality and relevance of the theme	1. Originality	Meets when: the article brings original ideas that have not yet been presented on the subject. [1]	AT
	The reflection is original.		
	2. Relevance	Meets when: the theme is important for the context in which it is inserted. [2]	AT
	There is relevance in the proposed theme.		
B) Introduction or presentation	3. Contextualization of the research	Meets when: the introduction makes clear the topic of the research, presents the studies that addressed the problem or similar research and points out the gap that the research covers.	AP
	The contextualization can be expanded, evidencing the relevance of the topic to the area in which it is inserted.		
	4. The research problem and the objectives	Meets when: the introduction makes it clear what the research question or hypotheses are (if any) and the general and/or specific objectives are consonant with the research question.	AT
	Meets the criteria.		
C) Adequacy of the theoretical framework	5. Appropriateness to the context	Meets when: the theoretical framework is pertinent to the theme and consonant with the objectives listed.	AT
	Meets the criteria.		
	6. Relevance and contribution to the research analysis	Meets when: the theoretical framework is presented in sufficient quantity and quality for the construct of the research and the ideas are presented in sufficient depth for the study in question.	AP
	The theoretical framework is pertinent and is aligned with the theme, but it is presented briefly. It would be interesting to expand.		
D) Results	7. Coherence of results	Attends when: presents coherence of the results with the objective of the research, with the theoretical framework and with the methodology.	AT
	Meets the criteria.		
	8. Accuracy of results	Meets when: presents correct calculation of the data and the results denote that	AT

		there is no fabrication or falsification of data.	
Meets the criteria.			
E) Discussions	9. Dialogue with the theoretical framework	Attends when: the discussions present a coherent correlation with the theoretical framework.	AT
	Meets the criteria.		
	10. Dialogue with the results presented	Attends when: the discussions present a coherent correlation with the results presented.	AT
Meets the criteria.			
F) Conclusions	11. Answer to the question and objectives	Meets when: The conclusions present an answer to the research question and objectives.	AP
	It meets the criteria, but I suggest emphasizing the original topic of the article, i.e. highlighting what the article brings as innovative, different from other articles on the same topic. For this, it would be interesting to use language resources such as "The results of the article pointed out that..." "The results showed that..."		
	12. Authorship and self-assessment at conclusion	Attends when: presents authorial closure without repetition of previous excerpts of the article and pointing out limitations of the research itself and future research.	AP
	It is worth pointing out the limitations of the research and indicating the possibility of future research.		
Quality dimension II – METHODOLOGICAL Precise description of the methods and techniques used.			
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (AT /AP/AT)
G) Appropriateness of the title, abstract and keywords	13. Check if there is consistency in the title, if it reflects the work	Meets when: the title specifies more generally the content of the work. The subtitle (if any) is a technical title more related to the theme. [3] Another possible approach is when the title refers to the theoretical object and the subtitle to the empirical object.	AT
	Meets the criteria.		
	14. Check if there is consistency in the abstract and in the choice of keywords with the work	Meets when: the abstract presents objective, methodology, results and conclusions in a manner consistent with the work. The choice of keywords is in line with the content of the article and the area.	AT
Meets the criteria.			
H) Description and adequacy of the methodology	15. Description of the methodology	Meets when: the methodology is well described and explains the form of data collection (if any) and analysis.	AP
	It is necessary to improve the methodology, mentioning the theorists who support the view adopted by the article. It is important to clarify the methods used to carry out the work.		
	16. Adequacy of the methodology	Meets when: the methodology is consistent with the theory and consistent with the results.	AP
	It is necessary to broaden the methodological exposition in order to understand how the researchers proceeded in the scientific investigation.		

Quality dimension III – AESTHETICS			
Writing, form and standardization.			
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (AT /AP/AT)
I) Standards and language	17. Presentation of the article within the norms	Meets when: there is observance of the rules regarding citations, references, presentation of illustrations and tables (title and source).	AP
	Observe the suggestions made in the text.		
	18. Presentation of the text in the language	Meets when: the text presents accentuation and correct typing of words; nominal and verbal agreement; correct arrangement of words and linking between sentences or paragraphs (cohesion); logical relation of the ideas presented (coherence); Avoids repetition in the text of what is already written in the illustrations and tables.	AP
	Check the notes in the text, such as repetitions due to translation into English and items related to the ABNT standard.		
J) Presentation	19. Illustrations and tables	Meets when: illustrations (graphics, tables, images, figures, maps) and tables have adequate size and legibility for reading.	AT
	Meets the criteria.		
Notes:			
[1] "Originality does not mean novelty. Originality refers to the return to the origins, thus making explicit an original clarification to the subject, hitherto not perceived" (SEVERINO, 2002, p. 148).			
[2] A theme is relevant when it comes to "a significant statement, especially if it is important for the overall meaning of the context in which it is found" (ABBAGNANO, 2012, p. 997).			

FINAL RECOMMENDATION	
Mark an X in your option.	
	ACCEPT
X	REQUEST MINOR MODIFICATIONS
	REQUEST MAJOR MODIFICATIONS
	REJECT
	FINAL OPINION The article has quality and is appropriate to the scope of the journal. The proposed changes will serve to give robustness to the discussion. Therefore, it is important to pay special attention to the notes related to the Methodology.