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Opinion - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, in addition, it is strongly encouraged that authors accept proposed suggestions for a better final product, which is more complete and in accordance with the scope of the journal. The article is well developed and worked on, but in points such as methodology and references there are changes that need to be made.

NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red, these need to be done for the text to be approved.

WARNING: ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.

General: At several points in the text there is an extremely paused writing, with few words between each period and without any commas, the use of longer sentences could facilitate the reading of the text, especially when considering the reading in English.

At some points in the text it was not possible to identify whether the quotations used were direct or not, all were marked in the text with explanations in the form of comments and must be verified by the authors.

Methodology: In the section designated as Framework there is a large work of methodological exposition that would be better placed in a single section with the methodology, elaborating only one or two extra paragraph to connect with the exposition made in the Methodology section.

In addition, even if the authors decide not to unify the two sections into a more elaborate methodology section, the methodology is quite brief and without any authorial reference to the methods that were cited. It is relevant that in the methodology exponents or authors used as a basis for the addressed methods are cited (even if indirectly only with their name in parentheses after mentioning the method), it is also relevant in a methodology to be connected to the rest of the text, stating reasons why the methods were chosen and how they contribute to the analyzes in which they will be used.
Translation and comprehension: An English revision is recommended, just to make it easier to read and correct minor translation errors.

References: There are errors that need to be modified in the references, references with authors that were not cited in full, references that appear in the final list but are not in the text, all of which were highlighted in the comments sent in the text.

I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!