

OPINION B



Vitaliy HNATYUK¹
e-mail: gnatyukvvdpu@gmail.com

Andreia DUDYNSKA²
e-mail: andrea.dudinska@uzhnu.edu.ua

Fedir KURTIyak³
e-mail: fedirkurtyak@gmail.com

Valentyna KOLODII⁴
e-mail: kolodiyva@ukr.net

Nataliya KURUTS⁵
e-mail: natalka.kuruts@gmail.com

How to reference this paper:

HNATYUK, V.; DUDYNSKA, A.; KURTIyak, F.; KOLODII, V.; KURUTS, N. Modern higher biological education in the context of war: Theoretical and practical discourse (Ukrainian experience). **Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023042, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18389>



Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes
Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

¹ Berdyansk State Pedagogical University, Berdiansk – Ukraine. Associate Professor of the Department of biology, health of a person and physical rehabilitation, Faculty of Physical Culture, Sport and the Health of a Person. PhD in Biology.

² Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod – Ukraine. Associate professor of the Department of Zoology, Faculty of Biology. PhD in Biology.

³ Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod – Ukraine. Associate Professor (Docent), Head of Department of Zoology Faculty of Biology.

⁴ Kamianets-Podilskyi Ivan Ohienko National University, Kamianets-Podilskyi – Ukraine. Senior Teacher, Department of Biology and Methods of its Teaching, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Economics. PhD in Biology.

⁵ Uzhhorod National University, Uzhhorod – Ukraine. Docent, Associate Professor, Department of Zoology, Faculty of Biology. PhD in Biology.

Opinion - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, in addition, it is strongly encouraged that authors accept proposed suggestions for a better final product, which is more complete and in accordance with the scope of the journal.

The article is well developed and worked on, but in points such as methodology and references there are changes that need to be made.

NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed out in the review will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red, these need to be made for the text to be approved.

WARNING: ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.

General: Citations throughout the text were used differently, it is important to standardize following the guidelines of the journal, which is available in the template, citations were marked in the text and need to be modified.

Abstract: There is a section in the abstract that needs to be worked on, it is probably a mistranslation, but still make that part of the text really hard to comprehend.

Methodology: In the section designated as Literature review, there is a large work of methodological exposition that could be used as a basis, or even unified, with the methodology that is quite brief and without any authorial reference to the methods that were cited. It is relevant that in the methodology exponents or authors used as a basis for the addressed methods are cited (even if indirectly only with their name in parentheses after mentioning the method), it is also relevant in a methodology to be connected to the rest of the text, stating reasons why the methods were chosen and how they contribute to the analyzes in which they will be used.

Translation and comprehension: An English revision is recommended, just to make it easier to read and correct minor spelling and grammar mistakes and also possible translation failures that could result in the loss of content.

References: There are errors that need to be modified in the references, references with authors that were not cited in full, all of which were highlighted in the comments sent in the text.

I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!