



OPINION A



(D)

Oleksandr MAKARENKO¹
e-mail: makarenko.aleksandr.87@gmail.com
Olha VAINAHII²
e-mail: olha.vainahii@uzhnu.edu.ua
Tamila TSYBULSKA³
e-mail: agagroup@ukr.ne
Andrew KOVAL⁴
e-mail: doct.koval@gmail.com
Volodumyr VIVSYANNUK⁵
e-mail: dr.vivsyannuk@gmail.com

How to reference this paper:

MAKARENKO, O.; VAINAHII, O.; TSYBULSKA, T.; KOVAL, A.; VIVSYANNUK, V. Digital transformation of higher medical education: Challenges, optimization. **Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. 00, e023043, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI:

https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18582



Submitted: 15/02/2023

Revisions required: 21/04/2023

| **Approved**: 25/07/2023 | **Published**: 21/08/2023

Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes **Deputy Executive Editor**: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

¹ Poltava State Medical University, Poltava – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Pedagogical Sciences. Faculty member of the Department of Physics.

RPGE – Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional, Araraquara, v. 27, n. 00, e023043, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18582

e-ISSN: 1519-9029

² State University "Uzhhorod National University", Uzhhorod – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Medical Sciences. Faculty member. Head of the Department of General Surgery.

³ Zaporizhzhia State Medical University, Zaporizhzhia – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Medical Sciences. Professor in the Department of Ophthalmology.

⁴ Danylo Halytsky Lviv National Medical University, Lviv – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Medical Sciences. Faculty member of the Department of Surgery.

⁵ Higher Educational Institution of Ukraine "Bukovinian State Medical University", Chernivtsi – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Medical Sciences. Department of Internal Medicine.

Criteria:

NA - Does not answer

AP - Partially meets

AT – Fully Meets

	Ouality	dimension I - COGNITIVE			
Sequential and logical chaining of the content of scientific ideas.					
EVALUATION	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL	PERFORMANCE		
ELEMENT	CKITEKION	DESCRIPTOR MEETS	(NA / AP / AT)		
A) Originality	1. Originality	Answer when: the article brings original	(14/1/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /		
and relevance of	1. Originanty	ideas that have not yet been presented	AT		
the topic		on the subject. [1]	711		
the topic	The article meets the criteria.				
	2. Relevance	Attends when: the topic is important for the context in which it is inserted. [two]	AT		
	The article meets the c				
	<u> </u>				
B) Introduction	3. Research context	Answer when: the introduction makes			
or presentation		the research theme clear, presents the			
		studies that addressed the problem or	AT		
		similar research and points out the gap			
		that the research covers.			
	The article meets the c				
	4. The research	Attends when: the introduction makes			
	problem and	clear what the research question is or the			
	objectives	hypotheses (if applicable) and the	AT		
		general and/or specific objectives are			
		consonant with the research question.			
	The article meets the c	riteria.			
<i>C</i>	7 43 44 4	36 . 1 . 1 . 1 1 1			
C) Adequacy of the theoretical	5. Adaptation to the context	Meets when: the theoretical framework	A T		
tne tneoreticai framework	the context	is relevant to the topic and consonant	AT		
II amework	The article meets the	with the listed objectives.			
	6. Relevance and	Meets when: the theoretical framework			
	contribution to the	is presented in sufficient quantity and			
	research analysis	quality for the research construct and	AT		
	research analysis	the ideas are presented in sufficient	AI		
		depth for the study in question.			
	The article meets the o				
	The differe meets the v	ontena.			
D) Results	7. Consistency of	Meets when: presents coherence of			
2) 110501105	results	results with the objective of the			
		research, with the theoretical framework	AT		
		and with the methodology.			
	The article meets the criteria.				
	8. Accuracy of	Attends when: it presents correct			
	results	verification of the data and the results	A.TD		
		denote that there is no fabrication or	AT		
		falsification of data.			
	The article meets the	criteria.			
E) Disquesions	0 Diologra with	Mosts when the discussions prosent			
E) Discussions	9. Dialogue with	Meets when: the discussions present a	A.T.		
	the theoretical	coherent correlation with the theoretical	AT		
	framework The orticle mosts the o	framework.			
	The article meets the c	тиепа.			

RPGE – Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional, Araraquara, v. 27, n. 00, e023043, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18582

	10 - 10		I	
	10. Dialogue with	Meets when: the discussions are		
	the presented	coherently correlated with the results	AT	
	results	presented.		
	The article meets the c	enteria.		
F) Conclusions	11. Answer to the	Meets when: Conclusions provide an		
i) Conciusions	question and	answer to the research question and	AT	
	objectives	objectives.	711	
	The article meets the cr	3		
	12. Authorship and	Meets when: presents authorial closure		
	self-assessment at	without repeating previous excerpts		
	conclusion	from the article and pointing out	AT	
		limitations of the research itself and		
		future research.		
	The article meets the c	riteria.	1	
	Quality dim	ension II - METHODOLOGY		
		n of the methods and techniques use	d.	
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL	PERFORMANCE	
		DESCRIPTOR MEETS	(NA / AP / AT)	
G) Adequacy of	13. Check if there	Meets when: the title specifies more		
the title, abstract	is coherence in the	generally the content of the work. The		
and keywords	title, if it reflects	subtitle (if any) is a technical title more		
	the work	related to the theme. [3] Another	AT	
		possible approach is when the title		
		refers to the theoretical object and the		
	The article meets the cr	subtitle, to the empirical object.		
	14. Check if there	Meets when: the abstract presents		
	is coherence in the	objective, methodology, results and		
	abstract and in the	conclusions in a coherent way with the		
	choice of keywords	work. The choice of keywords is in line	AT	
	with the work	with the content of the article and the		
	with the work	area.		
	The article meets the c	riteria.		
	1.5		T	
H) Description	15. Description of	Meets when: the methodology is well	4.50	
and adequacy of	the methodology	described and explains the form of data	AP	
the methodology	Té in management 1	collection (if applicable) and analysis.	h 4h .	
		be the methodology better. Which authors sed? From which authors is the research obj		
	16. Adequacy of the	Attends when: the methodology is	anaryzeu:	
	methodology	coherent with the theory and consistent	AT	
	memodology	with the results.	AI	
	The article meets the cr		1	
		mension III – AESTHETICS		
		g, form and normalization.		
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL	PERFORMANCE	
		DESCRIPTOR MEETS	(NA / AP / AT)	
I) Rules and	17. Presentation of	Complies when: there is compliance		
language	the article within	with the rules regarding citations,	A D	
	the norms	references, presentation of illustrations	AP	
		and tables (title and source).		
		t et al to italics. In addition, the word "Refe	rencias" is in	
	Portuguese, when, in fact, it should be in English.			
	18. Presentation of	Answer when: the text presents		
	41 4 4 4 41	accentuation and correct spelling of		
	the text in the		ΔP	
	language	words; noun and verbal agreement; correct arrangement of words and	AP	

RPGE – Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional, Araraquara, v. 27, n. 00, e023043, 2023. DOI: https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18582

	The word "Available" constructed.	connection between sentences or paragraphs (cohesion); logical relationship of the presented ideas (coherence); avoids the repetition in the text of what is already written in the illustrations and tables. in the references is misspelled. The rest of the sentence of th	the text is well
J) Presentation	19. Illustrations and tables	Meets when: illustrations (graphs, charts, images, figures, maps) and tables	AT
		have adequate size and legibility for	_
		reading.	
	The article meets the criteria.		

which it is found" (ABBAGNANO, 2012, p. 997).

FINAL RECOMMENDATION				
Mark an X in your option.				
X	TO ACCEPT			
X	REQUEST SMALL MODIFICATIONS			
	REQUEST BIG MODIFICATIONS			
	REJECT			
	FINAL OPINION			
	The text is of publication quality and follows the norms of the publisher responsible for the			
	publication, however, it is necessary to better detail the methodology, since more detail is			
	needed regarding the methodological line that follows and the authors that support it. It is			
	worth pointing out a change in the title of the section "Theoretical Framework or Literature			
	Review". I suggest choosing one of the names and removing the "or" particle.			

^{[1] &}quot;Originality does not mean novelty. Originality concerns going back to the origins, thus making explicit an original explanation of the subject, hitherto unnoticed" (SEVERINO, 2002, p. 148).
[2] A theme is relevant when it comes to "a significant statement, especially if it is important for the overall meaning of the context in