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Opinion - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, suggestions and notes were made in text and the necessary observations for adequacy placed in this opinion. All observations placed in the text and in this opinion are made with the intention of contributing to the authors' work and enabling an even greater development of its quality.

ATTENTION: All changes that are made to the text, please be made in red for identification.

NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in bold, underlined, red, these need to be done for the text to be approved.

The article fits the scope of the journal and presents a current and highly relevant topic in the contemporary scenario, not only in Ukraine but also in the rest of the planet, the approaches developed by the authors are well constructed and based on relevant and modern literature.

Translation and text comprehension: The article is well prepared and well written, however there are some inconsistencies in the English writing that require attention, a rereading of the text to remove redundancy and check grammar and spelling is recommended.

There are passages in the text where sentences are disconnected and there is no way to verify if there was loss of information or not, it is necessary that the authors check these passages and attest if it was just a typing error or if some relevant information was lost.

Introduction: The introduction is presented in a very summarized way in the text, taking, partially, more the form of an abstract than an introduction, in the following topic the introductory approaches are better elaborated and constructed in a way that could be interesting for the work that introduction and the following title merged into one, merging the texts and using the specific descriptions placed in the introduction in direct connection with the explanations and authors presented in the following section.

Methodology: The methodology is well elaborated, pointing beyond the methods used also how these methods contribute to the proposed work, but there is no mention of any work that supports the methods used in this section, something that can contribute to a better qualification
of this explanation, if possible, base the methods used and their relevance to the topic on authors who have worked/developed them or even other works that attest to the use of these methods.

**Conclusion:** Despite being well elaborated and complete, it would be possible to increase the conclusion by returning to it some of the notes made in the work, such as, for example, by quoting in the conclusion the lack of security existing in the current digitalization processes and softwares, connecting this section with what is developed in the work about the digital platforms used for distance education being insecure and also the correlation of this with the lack of digital training of students and teachers in the area. It would be possible, in a few words, to make these connections with everything mentioned in the conclusion, thus developing a better connection between what was worked on and how it was presented to readers at the end of the text, together with the authors' proposals.

*I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!*