OPINION A

Andrii RYBALKO
e-mail: ryb@ukr.net

Iryna KOCHETKOVA
e-mail: kiv20@ukr.net

Olena KIN
e-mail: kin_f@ukr.net

Svitlana LIULCHAK
e-mail: svitlanal2016@gmail.com

Nataliia KHMIL
e-mail: nkravc0@gmail.com

How to reference this paper:


Submitted: 15/02/2023
Revisions required: 21/04/2023
Approved: 25/07/2023
Published: 21/08/2023

Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes
Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

1 National University of Water and Environmental Engineering, Rivne – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Pedagogy. Associate Professor in the Department of Chemistry and Physics. Institute of Agroecology and Land Management.
2 Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Geography Associate Professor in the Department of Geography. Faculty of Geography.
3 H. S. Skovoroda Kharkiv National Pedagogical University, Kharkiv – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Pedagogy. Associate Professor in the Department of Pedagogy.
4 Vinnytsia Mykhailo Kotsiubynskyi State Pedagogical University, Vinnytsia – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Pedagogy. Associate Professor in the Department of Innovation and Information Technology in Education. Educational and Scientific Institute of Pedagogy and Psychology. Training of Highly Qualified Specialists.
5 Municipal Establishment “Kharkiv Humanitarian-Pedagogical Academy” of the Kharkiv Regional Council, Kharkiv – Ukraine. Doctoral degree in Pedagogy. Associate Professor. Professor in the Department of Computer Science. Faculty of Social and Pedagogical Sciences and Foreign Philology.
Criteria:
NA - Does not answer
AP - Partially meets
AT – Fully Meets

Quality dimension 1 - COGNITIVE
Sequential and logical chaining of the content of scientific ideas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EVALUATION ELEMENT</th>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE (NA / AP / AT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A) Originality and relevance of the topic</td>
<td>1. Originality</td>
<td>Answer when: the article brings original ideas that have not yet been presented on the subject. [1]</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Relevance</td>
<td>Attends when: the topic is important for the context in which it is inserted. [two]</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B) Introduction or presentation</td>
<td>3. Research context</td>
<td>Answer when: the introduction makes the research theme clear, presents the studies that addressed the problem or similar research and points out the gap that the research covers.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. The research problem and objectives</td>
<td>Attends when: the introduction makes clear what the research question is or the hypotheses (if applicable) and the general and/or specific objectives are consonant with the research question.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C) Adequacy of the theoretical framework</td>
<td>5. Adaptation to the context</td>
<td>Meets when: the theoretical framework is relevant to the topic and consonant with the listed objectives.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Relevance and contribution to the research analysis</td>
<td>Meets when: the theoretical framework is presented in sufficient quantity and quality for the research construct and the ideas are presented in sufficient depth for the study in question.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D) Results</td>
<td>7. Consistency of results</td>
<td>Meets when: presents coherence of results with the objective of the research, with the theoretical framework and with the methodology.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8. Accuracy of results</td>
<td>Attends when: it presents correct verification of the data and the results denote that there is no fabrication or falsification of data.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E) Discussions</td>
<td>9. Dialogue with the theoretical framework</td>
<td>Meets when: the discussions present a coherent correlation with the theoretical framework.</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The article meets the criteria.

### 10. Dialogue with the presented results
Meets when: the discussions are coherently correlated with the results presented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ELEMENT</th>
<th>CRITERION</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE LEVEL</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE DESCRIPTOR MEETS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G) Adequacy of the title, abstract and keywords</td>
<td>13. Check if there is coherence in the title, if it reflects the work</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F) Conclusions</td>
<td>11. Answer to the question and objectives</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H) Description and adequacy of the methodology</td>
<td>15. Description of the methodology</td>
<td>AP</td>
<td>AP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I) Rules and language</td>
<td>17. Presentation of the article within the norms</td>
<td>AT</td>
<td>AT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The article fulfills the criterion, but it is worth indicating, in the conclusions, the limitation of the research itself and the indication of future research.

Quality dimension II – METHODOLOGY
Precise description of the methods and techniques used.

### G) Adequacy of the title, abstract and keywords
Meets when: the title specifies more generally the content of the work. The subtitle (if any) is a technical title more related to the theme. Another possible approach is when the title refers to the theoretical object and the subtitle, to the empirical object.

The article meets the criteria.

### H) Description and adequacy of the methodology
Meets when: the methodology is well described and explains the form of data collection (if applicable) and analysis.

The methodology needs to be better explained. Which authors base the applied methodological conception? What is, clearly, the methodology used? Is it documentary research? What type of analysis was carried out? It is worth detailing.

### I) Rules and language
Complies when: there is compliance with the rules regarding citations, references, presentation of illustrations and tables (title and source).

The article meets the criteria.
18. Presentation of the text in the language

Answer when: the text presents accentuation and correct spelling of words; noun and verbal agreement; correct arrangement of words and connection between sentences or paragraphs (cohesion); logical relationship of the presented ideas (coherence); avoids the repetition in the text of what is already written in the illustrations and tables.

AT

The article meets the criteria.

19. Illustrations and tables

Meets when: illustrations (graphs, charts, images, figures, maps) and tables have adequate size and legibility for reading.

AT

The article meets the criteria.

Grades:


[2] A theme is relevant when it comes to “a significant statement, especially if it is important for the overall meaning of the context in which it is found” (ABBAGNANO, 2012, p. 997).

FINAL RECOMMENDATION

Mark an X in your option.

X TO ACCEPT

X REQUEST SMALL MODIFICATIONS

REQUEST BIG MODIFICATIONS

REJECT

FINAL OPINION

The article is of publication quality and follows the indicated norms. However, there is a need to clarify the methodology, so that the reader knows which authors base the analysis and what type of analysis was performed. A correction in the conclusions is also necessary, just to point out the space that other future researches can cover.