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Opinion - The article is indicated as approved, with small mandatory changes, suggestions and notes were made in the text and the necessary observations for adequacy placed in this opinion. All observations placed in the text and in this opinion are made with the intention of contributing to the authors' work and enabling an even greater development of its quality.

The article fits the scope of the journal, presenting a relevant and current work topic as well as a good development of the arguments proposed by the authors.

ATTENTION: ALL CHANGES THAT ARE MADE TO THE TEXT, PLEASE BE MADE IN RED FOR IDENTIFICATION.

NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed out in the revision will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in **bold, underlined, red**. These need to be done for the text to be approved.

Suggestion: The text, in general, is very complete and well prepared, dealing with a relevant and current topic that still raises great debates and is a fertile field for research, among the approaches made in the article, however, there seems to be a small contradiction regarding internationalization of students, because at first it is pointed out as something positive, when citing the large influx of foreign students in Ukraine, but later it is presented as a negative feature of globalization when mentioning Ukrainian students studying abroad. I believe that the center of this debate is the issue of the exodus of researchers, but the way it is presented, the notion of exodus is not very clearly conveyed, but rather a notion of exchange, it would be interesting if this theme were better worked on to make it clear for the reader that the problem is the exodus, if in fact that is the interpretation of the authors, and not the exchange of people from Ukraine who study and/or specialize abroad and return to the country.

If the idea is to point out that even this exchange, the simple fact that Ukrainians study abroad as something negative, it would be very valid and enlightening for there to be a greater debate around this, to point out why that exchange is negative for Ukraine while the presence of foreign students studying in Ukraine is a positive factor, beyond the use of the words Westernization and Europeanization.

Writing and understanding: The text is very well prepared and well written, but at some points possibilities for change were highlighted in order to reduce excessive repetition of words in sequence.
Also, there is a graphic that has not been translated into English, it is written in another alphabet and another language, it is necessary to translate that part.

Bibliographic references: In the list of references there are several references that do not appear in the text and also a possible reference that appears in the text and does not appear in the final list.

I am in favor of publication with the mandatory corrections!