

OPINION A



Oksana TRUSIJ¹

e-mail: oktrusij@ukr.net

Viktor PARZHNYTSKYI²

e-mail: pvv5@meta.ua

Yuliia SHABALA³

e-mail: syrist@uem.edu.ua

Alla LUKIIANCHUK⁴

e-mail: luallan@ukr.net

Tetyana STOVBA⁵

e-mail: stovba.t1210@gmail.com

How to reference this paper:

TRUSIJ, O. PARZHNYTSKYI, V. SHABALA, Y. LUKIIANCHUK, A.; STOVBA, T. On the development of the digital ecosystem in the field of education and science: Digital trends in the present. **Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023047, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18723>



| **Submitted:** 15/02/2023

| **Revisions required:** 21/04/2023

| **Approved:** 25/07/2023

| **Published:** 21/08/2023

Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes
Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

¹ Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Kyiv – Ukraine. Ph.D. in Geography. Associate Professor of the Department of Economic and Social Geography

² State Scientific Institution “Institute of Education Content Modernization”, Kyiv – Ukraine. PhD in Education, Head, Scientific and Methodological Support of Professional Education Division.

³ SIHE «University of Education Management», NAES of Ukraine, Kyiv – Ukraine. Doctor of philosophy. Docent.

⁴ The Bila Tserkva Institute of Continuing Professional Education, Bila Tserkva – Ukraine. PhD in Psychology. Associate Professor. Department of Pedagogy, Psychology and Management.

⁵ Kherson State Maritime Academy, Kherson – Ukraine. PhD in Economics. Associate Professor of Economics and Maritime Law Department. Faculty of Shipping.

Criteria:

NA – Does not answer

AP – Partially Attends

AT – Fully Responds

Quality dimension I – COGNITIVE Sequential and logical chaining of the content of scientific ideas.			
EVALUATION ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (NA / AP / AT)
A) Originality and relevance of the topic	1. Originality	Answer when: the article brings original ideas that have not yet been presented on the subject. [1]	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
	2. Relevance	Responds when: the topic is important for the context in which it is inserted. [two]	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
B) Introduction or presentation	3. Contextualization of the research	Responds when: the introduction makes the research topic clear, presents the studies that addressed the problem or similar research and points out the gap that the research covers.	NA
	The article does not contextualize the research in depth, a very synthetic introduction.		
	4. The research problem and objectives	Complies when: the introduction makes it clear what the research question or hypotheses are (if applicable) and the general and/or specific objectives are in line with the research question.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
C) Adequacy of the theoretical framework	5. Adaptation to the context	Attends when: the theoretical framework is relevant to the topic and in line with the objectives listed.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
	6. Relevance and contribution to research analysis	It meets when: the theoretical framework is presented in sufficient quantity and quality for the research construct and the ideas are presented in sufficient depth for the study in question.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
D) Results	7. Consistency of results	It meets when: the results are consistent with the research objective, the theoretical framework and the methodology.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
	8. Accuracy of results	Responds when: the data is correctly calculated and the results show that there is no fabrication or falsification of data.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		

E) Discussions	9. Dialogue with the theoretical framework	Responds when: discussions present a consistent correlation with the theoretical framework.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
	10. Dialogue with the results presented	Responds when: discussions show a consistent correlation with the results presented.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
F) Conclusions	11. Answer to the question and objectives	Meets when: the conclusions present an answer to the research question and objectives.	NA
	The conclusion does not end the article on a conclusive note, nor does it clearly answer the research questions and objectives. It is therefore necessary to reformulate the conclusion.		
	12. Authorship and self-assessment in conclusion	Complies when: presents authorial closure without repeating previous sections of the article and pointing out limitations of the research itself and future research.	NA
	The article does not point out the limitations of the research itself nor does it give any indication of future research.		
Quality dimension II – METHODOLOGY Precise description of the methods and techniques used.			
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (NA / AP / AT)
G) Adequacy of the title, abstract and keywords	13. Check whether the title is coherent and whether it reflects the work	Complies when: the title more generally specifies the content of the work. The subtitle (if any) is a technical title more related to the theme. [3] Another possible approach is when the title refers to the theoretical object and the subtitle to the empirical object.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
	14. Check whether the summary and the choice of keywords are consistent with the work	Complies when: the summary presents objective, methodology, results and conclusions in a manner consistent with the work. The choice of keywords is in line with the content of the article and the area.	AP
The word “quotidiana” is from Portuguese in Portugal, correct to Brazilian Portuguese. Capitalize keywords.			
H) Description and suitability of the methodology	15. Description of the methodology	Complies when: the methodology is well described and explains the method of data collection (if applicable) and analysis.	AP
	The description of the methodology is in the right direction, however, it remains to be clarified which comparative analysis methodology is used. It is worth clarifying the following two topics further: interdisciplinarity and scientist pluralism		
	16. Adequacy of the methodology	Meets when: the methodology is coherent with the theory and consistent with the results.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
Quality dimension III – AESTHETICS Writing, form and normalization.			
ELEMENT	CRITERION	PERFORMANCE LEVEL DESCRIPTOR MEETS	PERFORMANCE (NA / AP / AT)

I) Standards and language	17. Presentation of the article within the standards	Complies when: standards regarding citations, references, presentation of illustrations and tables (title and source) are observed.	NA
	There are <i>et al</i> without italics, which needs to be appropriate. There are paragraphs without the correct indentation and without adequate spacing.		
	18. Presentation of the text in the language	Answer when: the text presents accentuation and correct typing of words; nominal and verbal agreement; correct arrangement of words and connection between sentences or paragraphs (cohesion); logical relationship of the ideas presented (coherence); avoid repeating in the text what is already written in the illustrations and tables.	AP
The article meets the criteria, however, the repetitive introduction of sections always listing two topics is repetitive and poorly articulated with the rest of the text. I then suggest rewriting the beginning of the introduction, the literature review and the conclusion. There is repetition of words in the Results, as stated in the second paragraph. It is necessary to rewrite and articulate the sentences better.			
J) Presentation	19. Illustrations and tables	Complies when: illustrations (graphs, charts, images, figures, maps) and tables are of adequate size and legibility for reading.	AT
	The article meets the criteria.		
Grades:			
[1] "Originality does not mean new. Originality concerns the return to the origins, thus explaining an original clarification to the subject, previously unnoticed" (SEVERINO, 2002, p. 148). [2] A theme is relevant when it is "a significant statement, especially if it is important for the global meaning of the context in which it is found" (ABBAGNANO, 2012, p. 997).			

FINAL RECOMMENDATION Mark an X in your option.	
	TO ACCEPT
	REQUEST MINOR CHANGES
X	REQUEST MAJOR CHANGES
	REJECT
	FINAL OPINION This article requires profound changes in writing, namely: rewriting the introduction, expanding the contextualization of the research; detail elements of the methodology such as scientific pluralism and interdisciplinarity; rewrite the beginning of the literature review; rewrite the conclusion in its entirety. Furthermore, the article is not fully compliant with standards, with spacing problems, page indentation, lack of italics in <i>et al</i> and references with no formatting. Still regarding writing, the fact that the article constantly presents a list or topics leaves the reading truncated and without articulation with the rest of the paragraph. Finally, the authors need to fill out the Credit Author statement. Therefore, major modifications to this article are recommended.