

OPINION B



Gulchohra Babali ALIYEVA¹
e-mail: az1000emmafn@mail.ru
Valentyna Honcharuk²
e-mail: goncharuk424@ukr.net
Nadiia Pavliuk³
e-mail: pavlyuknadya@gmail.com
Viktoriiia Zinchenko⁴
e-mail: vicik-210898@ukr.net
Inna Sivachenko⁵
e-mail: inn-bojk@ukr.net

How to reference this paper:

ALIYEVA, G. B.; HONCHARUK, V.; PAVLIUK, N.; ZINCHENKO, V.; SIVACHENKO, I. Review of modern pedagogical technologies and methods in the field of philology according to European standards (literature review). **Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional**, Araraquara, v. 27, n. esp. 2, e023049, 2023. e-ISSN: 1519-9029. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v27iesp.2.18778>



| **Submitted:** 15/02/2023
| **Revisions required:** 21/04/2023
| **Approved:** 25/07/2023
| **Published:** 21/08/2023

Editor: Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes
Deputy Executive Editor: Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz

¹ Azerbaijan State Marine Academy, Baku – Azerbaijan. Head of the Department of English Language. Associate Professor. PhD in Philology.

² Pavlo Tychyna Uman State Pedagogical University, Uman – Ukraine. Associate Professor at the Department of Ukrainian Studies and Relevant Teaching Methodologies. Faculty of Philology and Journalism. PhD in Pedagogy.

³ State Institution «South Ukrainian National Pedagogical University named after K. D. Ushinsky», Odessa - Ukraine. Lecturer. Department of Ukrainian and World Literatures. Faculty of History and Philology. PhD in Philology.

⁴ Donetsk State University of Internal Affairs, Kryvyy Rih – Ukraine. Associate Professor. Head of the Department of Social Humanities and Legal Disciplines. Kryvyy Rih Educational and Scientific Institute. PhD in Pedagogy.

⁵ National University “Odessa Maritime Academy”, Odessa – Ukraine. Associate Professor. The English Language Department №2. Educational and Scientific Institute of Navigation. PhD in Philosophy.

Opinion - The article is indicated as approved, with mandatory changes, suggestions and notes were made in text and the necessary observations for adequacy placed in this opinion. All observations made in the text and in this report are made with the aim of contributing to the authors' work and enabling an even greater development of its quality.

The article fits the scope of the magazine, presenting a relevant and current work topic as well as a good development of the arguments proposed by the authors.

ATTENTION: Any changes made to the text should be made in red for identification.

NOTE: All mandatory changes pointed in the review will be highlighted in the text (both in these notes and in the text with comments) in **bold, underlined, red**, these need to be done for the text to be approved.

Introduction and methodology: Both chapters are written subdivided into several titles, which causes a topic structure when reading, making reading more difficult and less explanatory. It is suggested that subtitles not be used in these chapters, that both should be written in a continuous sequence of paragraphs and in place of the subtitles a paragraph or a sentence that connects the text should be placed, aiming to make the reading more explanatory and more fluid.

Also in the methodology it is recommended to check whether there are exponents in the mentioned methods, any author or theory that can support the proposed debate, in addition it is also important to connect the methodology with the text, explaining why the chosen methods contribute to the proposal made, how these methods are connected to the analyzes that will be carried out, their relevance to explain the proposal, etc.

Conclusion: The conclusion is presented very briefly, without actually addressing all the points of the text, which, despite being a review of the European issue in general, makes a comparative parallel all the time with Ukraine, making the Ukrainian position towards to adopt these European terms, the difficulties, desires and fears that these changes may cause in the country's educational system become a central element for the debate and, as a central element,

must appear in the conclusions, together with the authors' observations on these questions (more notes were specifically placed in the form of comments in the resent text).

The last paragraph of the discussion could be incorporated with some adaptations into the conclusion, it has more of a conclusive tone than just an analytical one.

References: In the list of references there are several incomplete references, missing author names, it is necessary to change.