



10.22633/rpge.v29iesp4.20758



Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional  
Online Journal of Policy and Educational Management



## THE MEDIATING ROLE OF SOLUTION-FOCUSED THINKING IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PSYCHOLOGICAL RESILIENCE AND MATHEMATICAL RESILIENCE IN MIDDLE SCHOOL STUDENTS

O PAPEL MEDIADOR DO PENSAMENTO FOCADO NA SOLUÇÃO NA RELAÇÃO ENTRE RESILIÊNCIA PSICOLÓGICA E RESILIÊNCIA MATEMÁTICA EM ALUNOS DO ENSINO FUNDAMENTAL

EL PAPEL MEDIADOR DEL PENSAMIENTO CENTRADO EN LA SOLUCIÓN EN LA RELACIÓN ENTRE LA RESILIENCIA PSICOLÓGICA Y LA RESILIENCIA MATEMÁTICA EN ESTUDIANTES DE EDUCACIÓN BÁSICA

<sup>1</sup> Ministry of National Education, Se-miha Mustafa Özer Middle School, Bursa, Türkiye.

<sup>2</sup> Ministry of National Education, Gölcük Middle School, Gölcük, Türkiye.

<sup>3</sup> Kocaeli University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Kocaeli, Türkiye.

<sup>4</sup> İstanbul Medipol University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, İstanbul, Türkiye.

<sup>5</sup> Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Bursa, Türkiye.

<sup>6</sup> Bursa Uludag University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics Education, Bursa, Türkiye.

Kübra Uzun YAZICI<sup>1</sup>

uzunyazicikubra@gmail.com

Hülya Sert ÇELİK<sup>2</sup>

hlyasert@gmail.com

Ayşe Arzu ARI<sup>3</sup>

abural@kocaeli.edu.tr

Damla SÖNMEZ<sup>4</sup>

damla.sonmez@medipol.edu.tr

Rümeysa BEYAZHANÇER<sup>5</sup>

abural@kocaeli.edu.tr

Gül Kaleli YILMAZ<sup>6</sup>

gulkaleli@uludag.edu.tr



### How to reference this paper:

Yazıcı, K. U., Çelik, H. S., Ari, A. A., Sönmez, D., Beyazhançer, R., & Yılmaz, G. K. (2025). The mediating role of solution-focused thinking in the relationship between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience in middle school students. *Revista on line de Política e Gestão Educacional*, 29(esp4), e025092. <https://doi.org/10.22633/rpge.v29iesp4.20758>

**Submitted:** 20/11/2025

**Revisions required:** 25/11/2025

**Approved:** 04/12/2025

**Published:** 20/12/2025



**ABSTRACT:** This study investigates how students' emotional states influence their self-actualization. Conducted between October and November 2023, it involved 96 first- to fourth-year students from various universities in Azerbaijan. The research examined levels of emotional stability, characteristics of self-realization, their interrelations, and potential influences. Findings show significant differences between students with stable and unstable emotional states regarding self-actualization. Although both groups scored highest on the Time Management and Self-Esteem scales, students with stable emotional states achieved notably higher results than those with unstable emotional states ( $p = 0.04$ ). The study concludes that while some components of self-actualization correlate with emotional state, not all do. Overall, stabilizing a student's emotional condition can support the development of self-realization and self-expression.

**KEYWORDS:** Students. Stable emotional state. Unstable emotional state. Self-actualization. Self-expression.

**RESUMO:** O estudo examina o impacto do estado emocional dos alunos em sua autorrealização. A pesquisa foi realizada em outubro-novembro de 2023 com alunos do 1º ao 4º ano de universidades do Azerbaijão. 96 alunos participaram do estudo. Foram estudados as manifestações e os níveis do estado emocional, as características da autorrealização, as relações mútuas entre elas e as possibilidades de influência. O estudo mostrou que existem diferenças significativas na autorrealização entre grupos com estado emocional estável e instável. Embora as pontuações da "Escala de Gestão do Tempo" e da "Autoestima" estejam em primeiro lugar para ambos os grupos, os indicadores no grupo com estado emocional estável são mais elevados do que os indicadores no outro grupo ( $p = 0,04$ ). Conclui-se que existe uma correlação entre alguns dos componentes da autorrealização e o estado emocional. Isso significa que a autorrealização e a autoexpressão do aluno podem ser criadas por meio da estabilização do emocional.

**PALAVRAS-CHAVE:** Alunos. Estado emocional estável. Estado emocional instável. Autorrealização. Autoexpressão.

**RESUMEN:** El estudio analiza las cuestiones psicológicas relacionadas con el impacto del estado emocional de los estudiantes en su autorrealización. La investigación se llevó a cabo entre octubre y noviembre de 2023 con estudiantes de primero a cuarto año de diferentes universidades de Azerbaiyán. Participaron 96 estudiantes. El estudio examinó las manifestaciones y niveles del estado emocional, las características de la autorrealización, sus interrelaciones y las posibilidades de influencia. Los resultados mostraron diferencias significativas en la autorrealización entre los grupos con estado emocional estable e inestable. En particular, aunque las puntuaciones de la "Escala de Gestión del Tiempo" y de la "Autoestima" ocupan las primeras posiciones en ambos grupos, los indicadores del grupo con estado emocional estable son más altos que los del grupo con estado emocional inestable ( $p = 0,04$ ). Se concluye que existe una correlación entre algunos componentes de la autorrealización y el estado emocional, aunque no entre todos. Esto indica que la autorrealización y la autoexpresión del estudiante pueden ser favorecidas mediante la estabilización del estado emocional.

**PALABRAS CLAVE:** Estudiantes. Estado emocional estable. Estado emocional inestable. Autorrealización. Autoexpresión.

Article submitted to the similarity system



---

**Editor:** Prof. Dr. Sebastião de Souza Lemes

**Deputy Executive Editor:** Prof. Dr. José Anderson Santos Cruz.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Psychological resilience, one of the key constructs of positive psychology, is defined as the ability to remain flexible, adapt to environmental conditions, and function effectively despite adverse experiences (Luthar et al., 2000). This structure is influenced by both internal and environmental factors and can be developed over time. From an academic standpoint, psychological resilience supports success by helping individuals manage academic stress, fear of failure, and performance anxiety. In this context, resilience is particularly significant in mathematics, a field requiring abstract thinking, analytical reasoning, and sustained cognitive effort.

Mathematical resilience refers to individuals' capacity to overcome mathematical difficulties, persist through challenges, and learn from mistakes. High-achieving students demonstrate both cognitive and emotional resilience (Cassidy, 2015; Pajares & Graham, 1999). Solution-focused thinking serves as a cognitive bridge between psychological and mathematical resilience, encouraging individuals to draw on their strengths and focus on solutions rather than problems (Grant et al., 2012; Oliver & Charles, 2015). Research indicates that this strategy enhances psychological resilience and supports persistence in academic contexts (Şanal-Karahan, 2016). Accordingly, this study examines the mediating role of solution-focused thinking in the relationship between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience.

Psychological resilience is defined as an individual's capacity to adapt and achieve positive outcomes despite serious difficulties encountered in life, and it is closely related to broader constructs of resilience (Masten, 2001; Stewart et al., 1997). It enables individuals to maintain psychological balance by effectively utilizing protective factors when faced with traumatic or stressful experiences (Durak, 2021; Rutter, 2006). Overall, psychological resilience is considered a multidimensional construct shaped by the interaction of individual characteristics, environmental resources, and coping skills (Gürgan, 2006; Luthar et al., 2000). Empirical studies indicate that individuals with high levels of psychological resilience demonstrate more functional coping strategies, higher cognitive and academic performance, and stronger social and adaptive skills (Block & Block, 1980; Mandleco, 2001; Padesky, 2009).

While various risk factors—including poverty, migration, parental psychopathology, and child neglect—may undermine resilience (Garmezy, 1993; Karaırmak, 2006; Lansford et al., 2006), protective factors such as intelligence, self-esteem, humour, effective problem-solving, and social support have been shown to strengthen it (Gizir, 2004; Masten, 1994; Werner, 2000). In a similar vein, mathematical resilience, defined by Johnston-Wilder and Lee (2021), represents individuals' positive affective attitudes toward mathematics and their persistent approach to overcoming challenges in this field. Students with high mathematical resilience

tend to exhibit patience, collaboration, and a growth mindset, emphasizing that learning improves through sustained effort (Lee & Johnston-Wilder, 2014).

This construct not only promotes mathematical success but also supports broader academic development, as resilient learners experience less stress, use more effective learning strategies, and transform difficulties into opportunities for growth (Cassidy, 2015; Martin & Marsh, 2006). Recent studies have underscored the role of social-emotional variables—such as perception, belief, empathy, and well-being—in fostering mathematical resilience (Baker et al., 2019; Faradillah & Wulandari, 2021; Joy & Obiagaeri, 2019; Kahveci & Bulut-Serin, 2017; Layco, 2020; Mota et al., 2016).

Solution-focused thinking, relying on past-oriented causality, emphasizes a solution-oriented perspective that promotes structured problem-solving (Grant et al., 2012; Oliver & Charles, 2015). Through this process, individuals develop the ability to recognize and use both internal and external resources, thereby reinforcing components of psychological resilience such as flexibility, hope, and self-regulation (Kim & Park, 2022). The model underlying this approach consists of three components (De Jong & Berg, 2013): recognizing that problem-solving is possible and applying functional techniques; increasing self-awareness through positive experiences; and fostering hope and courage for the future.


This triadic structure enables individuals to distance themselves from problems, focus on solutions, and develop effective, goal-oriented strategies (Oliver & Charles, 2015). Studies in positive psychology show that individuals adopting this approach demonstrate high empathy (Şanal-Karahan et al., 2017), strong social skills (Siyez & Tan-Tuna, 2014), higher self-efficacy and life satisfaction (Sarı et al., 2019), better psychological well-being (Arslan & Asıcı, 2021), and greater hope (Şanal-Karahan, 2016). They also exhibit more positive emotional states and subjective well-being (Grant & Spence, 2010; Kashdan & Rottenberg, 2010; Kim & Franklin, 2015; Theeboom et al., 2015). Developing a solution-focused mindset enhances well-being and strengthens one's capacity to set goals, create action plans, and initiate positive change (Kondrat & Teater, 2010; Jackson & McKergow, 2007).

This approach enables individuals to consider multiple alternatives in problem-solving without relying on the cause-and-effect cycle. It promotes optimism (Murdock, 2016) and a positive future orientation (Corey, 2008). This study was conducted within the framework of Positive Psychology (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000) and the Cognitive Behavioural Approach (Beck, 2011). In this regard, the main objective of this study is to examine the mediating role of solution-focused thinking in the relationship between middle school students' psychological resilience levels and mathematical resilience. Within this scope, the following hypotheses have been formulated.

- H1: Psychological resilience positively affects mathematical resilience.

- H2: Psychological resilience positively affects solution-focused thinking.
- H3: Solution-focused thinking positively affects mathematical resilience.
- H4: Solution-focused thinking plays a mediating role in the relationship between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience.

**Figure 1**  
*The Research Model*



*Note.* Prepared by the authors.

## METHODOLOGY

In this study, a quantitative design based on a correlational survey model was used. The correlational survey model is a quantitative research design used to determine the level of relationship between two or more variables. Researchers frequently prefer in educational sciences to reveal the natural relationships between structures (Fraenkel et al. 2012). The independent variable in this study is psychological resilience, the dependent variable is mathematical resilience, and solution-focused thinking is determined as the mediating variable. The research group consisted of 1,020 middle school students who were selected using purposive sampling, a non-random method in grades 5 to 8 studying in Bursa during the spring semester of the 2024–2025 academic year. Three different measurement tools were used to collect data for the study: the Brief Psychological Resilience Scale (BPRS) developed by Smith et al. (2008) and adapted into Turkish by Doğan (2015), the Academic Resilience in Mathematics Scale developed by Ricketts et al. (2017) and adapted to Turkish by Pekdemir et al. (2019), and the Solution-Focused Thinking Scale developed by Smock et al. (2010) and adapted into Turkish by Arslan et al. (2021).

## RESULTS

### *Descriptive Statistics for Research Variables*

To determine whether the variables are normally distributed, skewness and kurtosis values were calculated along with mean and standard deviation values (Table 1).

**Table 1**  
*Descriptive statistics of scores obtained from scales*

Variable	N	Cronbach	Min	Max	X	Ss	Skewness Value	Sd	Kurtosis Value	S.d
Solution-Focused Thinking	1020	0,838	1,58	5,00	3,80	,588	-,560	,108	,688	,216
Psychological Resilience	1020	0,713	1,00	5,00	3,14	,749	,147	,108	-,102	,216
Mathematical Resilience	1020	0,789	1,00	5,00	3,58	,863	-,497	,108	-,326	,216

*Note.* Prepared by the authors (2025).

When Table 1 is examined, it can be seen that the skewness values of the variables range from 0.147 to -0.560, while the kurtosis values range from -0.326 to 0.688. According to George and Mallery (2010), for a variable to have a normal distribution, its skewness and kurtosis values must fall within the range of  $\pm 2$ . In this regard, it was determined that the calculated values were within the specified range and that the normal distribution assumption was met. The Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficients of the scales used in the study were found to be 0.789 for the Mathematical Resilience Scale, 0.713 for the Psychological Resilience Scale, and 0.838 for the Solution-Focused Thinking Scale. These results indicate that the scales used in the study are highly reliable.

## Correlation Analysis

**Table 2**  
*Correlation results between psychological resilience, solution-focused*

	1	2	3
1.Solution-Focused Thinking	-		
2.Psychological Resilience	0,277**		
3.Mathematical Resilience	0,327**	0,530**	-

*Note.* Prepared by the authors (2025). \*\*p<0,01.

According to the results in Table 2, there are positive and moderate correlations between middle school students' solution-focused thinking levels and their psychological resilience ( $r = 0.277^{**}$ ) and mathematical resilience, ( $r = 0.530^{**}$ ) levels. Additionally, a positive, moderate, and statistically significant relationship was found between students' psychological resilience and mathematical resilience levels ( $r = 0.327^{**}$ ).

### Analyses related to hypotheses

To determine the mediating role of solution-focused thinking in the relationship between psychological resilience levels and mathematical resilience the results obtained using the Bootstrap method within the scope of the mediation analysis conducted with the PROCESS Macro are presented in Table 3. To test the possible mediating role of solution-focused thinking, regression-based mediation analyses were conducted using the procedures provided by Hayes (2018).

**Table 3**  
*Analysis of mediating effects of Solution Focus Thinking*

Path	t	p	Bootstrap95%CI	
			Lower Level	Upper Level
Model (Figure 1)				
PR →SFT	.258(.033)	7,833	*0,000	.193 .323
SFT →MR	.692(.058)	11,91	*0,000	.578 0,806
RP →SR.	.368(.048)	6,694	*0,000	.231 .421
PR →SFT →SR.	.179(.028)	3,892	*0,013	.126 .237


*Note.* Prepared by the authors (2025). \*p<.01, PR= Psychological Resilience, MR= Mathematical Resilience, SFT= Solution FocusThinking.

According to the results presented in Table 3, psychological resilience has a significant and positive effect on solution-focused thinking ( $B = 0.258$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; 95% CI [.193, .323]). Solution-focused thinking, on the other hand, has a strong and significant effect on mathematical resilience ( $B = 0.692$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; 95% CI [.578, .806]). The direct effect of psychological resilience on mathematical resilience was also found to be significant ( $B = 0.368$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; 95% CI [.231, .421]).

Bootstrap confidence intervals were examined to determine whether psychological resilience had an indirect effect on mathematical resilience. The findings indicate that this indirect effect emerges through solution-focused thinking ( $B = 0.179$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; 95% CI [.126, .237]). The inclusion of solution-focused thinking in the model reduced the direct effect of psychological resilience on mathematical resilience from 0.368 to 0.179, indicating a partial mediating effect. The results are presented in Figure 2.

**Figure 2**

*The mediating role of solution-focused thinking between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience*



*Note.* Prepared by the authors (2025).

When examining Figure 2, it can be seen that psychological resilience predicts solution-focused thinking skills, and similarly, solution-focused thinking skills directly predict mathematical resilience positively. In addition, psychological resilience directly predicts mathematical resilience, and the total effect is significant. However, when solution-focused thinking, the mediating variable, is included in the model, the effect of psychological resilience on mathematical resilience decreases ( $c'=.179$ ) but remains significant. Since the coefficient resulting from the inclusion of mediating variables in the model remains significant, partial mediation is present. This indicates that individuals with high psychological resilience tend to exhibit higher mathematical resilience, and this relationship is partially explained by solution-focused thinking.

## DISCUSSION

The findings indicate that students' psychological resilience levels positively and significantly affect their mathematical resilience. This finding is consistent with the results obtained in Demir's (2023) study. Although there are a limited number of studies on these two variables in the literature, the current findings indicate the existence of a positive relationship between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience.

The research findings reveal a positive and moderately significant relationship between psychological resilience and solution-focused thinking. This result is consistent with existing research showing that solution-focused approaches affect individuals' psychological resilience and coping skills (Momenipoor et al., 2025; Sağar, 2022). Solution-focused thinking supports individuals in focusing on the future, not on dwelling on the past, effectively using their current resources, and developing flexible solutions to the problems they encounter (Booth & Neill, 2017; Kim & Franklin, 2009).

Overall, the findings are largely consistent with the existing literature. Psychological resilience and solution-focused thinking make important contributions to individuals' development of flexibility in the face of challenges and support their self-efficacy and academic courage (Grant, 2011; Martin & Marsh, 2006). Indeed, a study by Li et al. (2022) found that individuals with high psychological resilience, when combined with a history of childhood trauma, may turn to malicious creativity through aggression. The results also showed that solution-focused thinking significantly and positively affected students' levels of mathematical resilience. Accordingly, developing flexible and future-oriented cognitive strategies that focus on generating solutions when faced with mathematical difficulties, supports individuals' resilience. Indeed, previous studies have also shown that solution-focused thinking is closely related to self-efficacy, hope, and problem-solving skills; and that these psychological resources increase an individual's level of resilience in the face of academic challenges (Cassidy, 2015; Grant & Spence, 2010; Şanal-Karahan, 2016).

These findings reveal that solution-focused thinking should be evaluated as a supportive element in mathematics education, not only in terms of cognitive goals but also emotional ones. According to the results, general self-efficacy plays a mediating role in the effect of students' psychological resilience on life satisfaction,' was examined. The result indicates the importance of students' general self-efficacy as a variable in the relationship between psychological resilience and life satisfaction, a relationship supported by literature and this study. Moreover, according to the results obtained from the study, solution-focused thinking plays a mediating role in the relationship between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience. It was determined that as psychological resilience levels increase, solution-focused thinking skills also increase, significantly affecting mathematical resilience. The result is consistent with previous studies showing that a solution-focused approach both increases psychological resilience, and supports academic resilience behaviours (Grant, 2011; Kim & Franklin, 2009).

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study has made a meaningful contribution to literature by revealing the positive relationship between psychological resilience and mathematical resilience in middle school students. The findings indicate that solution-focused thinking plays a partial mediating role in this relationship, and that individuals' levels of psychological resilience significantly influence their levels of mathematical resilience through solution-focused cognitive strategies.

Individuals with high levels of psychological resilience exhibit a more flexible, solution-focused, and determined attitude when faced with mathematical difficulties, and this

attitude strengthens their resilience capacity. So, in this context, it has been concluded that holistic approaches aimed at supporting students both cognitively and emotionally can contribute to the development of resilience in mathematics learning processes.

## REFERENCES

Arslan, Ü., Okur, S., & Jordan, S. S. (2021). The validation of solution building inventory in the Turkish population. *Journal of Solution Focused Practices*, 5(1), 2.

Baker, J., Cousins, S. B., & Johnston-Wilder, S. (2019). Mathematics: A place of loving kindness and resilience-building. *Journal of the Canadian Association for Curriculum Studies*, 17(1), 111–126.

Beck, J. S. (2011). Cognitive-behavioral therapy. In *Clinical Textbook of Addictive Disorders* (3rd ed., pp. 474–501). Guilford Press.

Block, J. H., & Block, J. (1980). The role of ego-control and ego-resiliency in the organization of behavior. In W. A. Collins (Ed.), *Development of cognition, affect, and social relations: The Minnesota Symposia on Child Psychology* (Vol. 13, pp. 39–101). Erlbaum.

Booth, J. W., & Neill, J. T. (2017). Coping strategies and the development of psychological resilience. *Journal of Outdoor and Environmental Education*, 20(1), 47–54.

Borazon, E. Q., & Chuang, H. (2023). Resilience in educational system: A systematic review and directions for future research. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 99, 102761. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102761>

Buckley, S., & Sullivan, P. (2023). Reframing anxiety and uncertainty in the mathematics classrooms. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 35(Suppl. 1), 157–170. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-021-00393-8>

Corey, G. (2008). *Theory and practice of counseling and psychotherapy* (8th ed.). Brooks/Cole.

De Jong, P., & Berg, I. K. (2013). *Interviewing for solutions* (4th ed.). Thomson Brooks/Cole Publishing Co.

Demir, B. (2023). The mediating effect of academic resilience on the relationship between psychological resilience and academic achievement. *E-International Journal of Educational Research*, 14(3), 52–67. <https://doi.org/10.19160/e-ijer.1253101>

Doğan, T. (2015). Adaptation of the Brief Resilience Scale into Turkish: A validity and reliability study. *The Journal of Happiness & Well-Being*, 3(1), 93–102.

Durak, İ. (2021). Mediator effect of self-efficacy in the relationship between psychological resilience and life satisfaction. *Electronic Journal of Social Sciences*, 20(78), 1175–1190. <https://doi.org/10.17755/emosder.816639>

Faradillah, A., & Wulandari, S. (2021). Emotional intelligence through mathematical resilience on secondary students based on gender. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pengajaran*, 54(2), 273–285. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpp.v54i2.33958>

Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (8th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

Garmezy, N. (1993). Children in poverty: Resilience despite risk. In D. Reiss, J. E. Richters, M. R. Yarrow, & D. Scharff (Eds.), *Children and violence*. Guilford Press.

George, D., & Mallery, M. (2010). *SPSS for Windows step by step: A simple guide and reference: 17.0 update* (10th ed.). Pearson.

Gizir, C. A. (2004). *Academic resilience: An investigation of protective factors contributing to the academic achievement of eighth grade students in poverty* [Doctoral thesis]. Middle East Technical University. Council of Higher Education Thesis Center.

Grant, A. M. (2011). The solution-focused inventory: A tripartite taxonomy for teaching, measuring, and conceptualising solution-focused approaches to coaching. *The Coaching Psychologist*, 7(2), 98–106.

Grant, A. M., & Spence, G. B. (2010). Using coaching and positive psychology to promote a flourishing workforce: A model of goal-striving and mental health. In P. A. Linley, S. Harrington, & N. Page (Eds.), *Oxford handbook of positive psychology and work* (pp. 175–188). Oxford University Press.

Grant, A. M., Cavanagh, M. J., Kleitman, S., Spence, G., Lakota, M., & Yu, N. (2012). Development and validation of the Solution-Focused Inventory. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 7(4), 334–348. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2012.697184>

Grant, A. M., Franklin, J., & Langford, P. (2002). The self-reflection and insight scale: A new measure of private self-consciousness. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 30(8), 821–835. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2002.30.8.821>

Gürgan, U. (2006). *The effect of the group program on resiliency level of university students* [Doctoral thesis]. Ankara University. Council of Higher Education Thesis Center.

Hayes, A. F. (2018). Partial, conditional, and moderated moderated mediation: Quantification, inference, and interpretation. *Communication Monographs*, 85(1), 4–40.

Ishak, N. H. F. B., Yusoff, N. F. B. M., & Madihie, A. (2020). Resilience in mathematics, academic resilience, or mathematical resilience? An overview. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(5), 34–39. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.081905>

Jackson, P. Z., & McKergow, M. (2007). *The solutions focus: Making coaching and change SIMPLE* (2nd ed.). Nicholas Brealey Publishing.

Johnston-Wilder, S., Lee, C., & Mackrell, K. (2021). Addressing mathematics anxiety through developing resilience: Building on self-determination theory. *Creative Education*, 12, 2098–2115. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2021.129161>

Joy, U. C., & Obiagaeri, E. R. (2019). Achievement motivation and emotional intelligence as predictors of mathematical resilience among secondary school students. *Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal*, 6(5).

Kahveci, G., & Bulut-Serin, N. (2017). Conjoint behavioral consultation, cognitive behavior therapy and schema-based instruction: Enhancing mathematical resilience. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(8), 5543–5556. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00850a>

Karaırmak, Ö. (2006). Resilience, risk, and protective factors. *Turkish Psychological Counseling and Guidance Journal*, 3(26), 129–142. <https://doi.org/10.17066/pdrd.22262>

Kashdan, T. B., & Rottenberg, J. (2010). Psychological flexibility as a fundamental aspect of health. *Clinical Psychology Review*, 30, 865–878. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2010.03.001>

Kim, J. S., & Franklin, C. (2015). Understanding emotional change in solution-focused brief therapy: Facilitating positive emotions. *Best Practices in Mental Health*, 11(1), 25–41.

Kim, J., & Franklin, C. (2009). Solution-focused brief therapy in schools: A review of the outcome literature. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 31(4), 464–470. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2008.10.002>

Kim, J., & Park, H. (2022). The effects of solution-focused therapy on psychological resilience: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 78(6), 1223–1235.

Kondrat, D., & Teater, B. (2010). Solution-focused therapy in an emergency room setting: Increasing hope in persons presenting with suicidal ideation. *Journal of Social Work*, 12(1), 3–15. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468017310379756>

Lansford, J. E., Patrick, S. M., Kristopher, I. S., Dodge, K. A., Bates, J. E., & Pettit, G. S. (2006). Developmental trajectories of externalizing behaviors: Factors underlying resilience in physically abused children. *Development and Psychopathology*, 18, 35–55. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579406060032>

Layco, E. P. (2020). Discerning the intervening roles of students' mathematical resilience and academic emotions between the relationship of home–school ecological structures and achievement. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 14(8), 439–469.

Lee, C., & Johnston-Wilder, S. (2014). Mathematical resilience: What is it and why is it important? In S. Chinn (Ed.), *The Routledge International Handbook of Dyscalculia and Mathematical Learning Difficulties* (pp. 337–345). Routledge.

Li, W., Zhang, L., Qin, Z., Chen, J., & Liu, C. (2022). Childhood trauma and malevolent creativity in Chinese college students: Moderated mediation by psychological resilience and aggression. *Journal of Intelligence*, 10(4), 97. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence10040097>

Mandleco, L. B. (2001). An organizational framework for conceptualizing resilience in children. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychiatric Nursing*, 13(3), 99–112.

Martin, A. J., & Marsh, H. W. (2006). Academic resilience and its psychological and educational correlates: A construct validity approach. *Psychology in the Schools*, 43(3), 267–281. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.20149>

Masten, A. S. (1994). Resilience in individual development: Successful adaptation despite risk and adversity. In M. C. Wang & E. W. Gordon (Eds.), *Educational resilience in inner-city America: Challenges and prospects* (pp. 3–25). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 227–238.

Momenipoor, E., Asgharinekah, S. M., & Akbari, A. (2025). Effectiveness of solution-focused brief therapy on resilience, coping self-efficacy, and attitudes of mothers with hearing-impaired children. *Journal of Health Reports and Technology*, 11(2), e160564. <https://doi.org/10.5812/jhrt.160564>

Mota, A. I., Oliveira, H., & Henriques, A. (2016). Developing mathematical resilience: Students' voice about the use of ICT in classroom. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*, 14(38), 67–88. <https://doi.org/10.25115/ejrep.38.15041>

Murdock, N. L. (2016). *Theories of counseling and psychotherapy: A case approach* (3rd ed.). Pearson Education.

Oliver, C., & Charles, G. (2015). Which strengths-based practice? Reconciling strengths-based practice and mandated authority in child protection work. *Social Work*, 60(2), 135–143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sw/swu058>

Padesky, C. A. (2009). Identify client strengths to build resilience. Workshop presented at *The Evolution of Psychotherapy Conference*.

Pekdemir, Ü., Yazıcı, H., Altun, F., & Tosun, C. (2019). The validity and reliability of the Academic Resilience in Mathematics Scale Turkish form. *Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education (TURCOMAT)*, 10(1), 217–231. <https://doi.org/10.16949/turkbilmat.446722>

Ricketts, S. N., Engelhard, G. Jr., & Chang, M. L. (2015). Development and validation of a scale to measure academic resilience in mathematics. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 33, 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000274>

Rutter, M. (2006). Implications of resilience concepts for scientific understanding. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1094(1), 1–12.

Sağar, M. E. (2022). Psychological flexibility and problem-solving skills as predictors of social media addiction in adults. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy University Journal of Social Sciences Institute*, 35, 179–192. <https://doi.org/10.20875/makusobed.1080674>

Şanal Karahan, F. (2016). *The relationship between solution focused thinking and depression, anxiety, stress, and psychological well-being among university students* [Doctoral thesis]. Necmettin Erbakan University. Council of Higher Education Thesis Center.

Şanal Karahan, F., Bakalım, O., & Yoleri, S. (2017). Solution focused thinking and empathy in education faculty students. *Journal of Human Sciences*, 14(4), 4383–4392. <https://doi.org/10.14687/jhs.v14i4.5024>

Sarı, E., Uyumaz, G., & Kaya, C. (2019). Predictive variables of solution orientation: Life satisfaction, stress, depression and resilience. *The Black Sea Journal of Social Sciences*, 11(21), 423–438.

Seligman, M. E., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2014). Positive psychology: An introduction. In *Flow and the foundations of positive psychology: The collected works of Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi* (pp. 279–298). Springer Netherlands.

Siyez, D. M., & Tan Tuna, D. (2014). The effect of solution-focused psycho-education program on high school students' anger control and communication skills. *Turkish Psychological Counseling and Guidance Journal*, 5(41), 11–22.

Smith, B. W., Dalen, J., Wiggins, K., Tooley, E., Christopher, P., & Bernard, J. (2008). The Brief Resilience Scale: Assessing the ability to bounce back. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 15, 194–200. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705500802222972>

Smock, S. A., McCollum, E. E., & Stevenson, M. L. (2010). The development of the Solution Building Inventory. *Journal of Marital and Family Therapy*, 36(4), 499–510.

Stewart, M., Reid, G., & Mangham, C. (1997). Fostering children's resilience. *Journal of Pediatric Nursing*, 12(1), 21–31. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-5963\(97\)80018-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-5963(97)80018-8)

Theeboom, T., Beersma, B., & Van Vianen, A. E. (2015). The differential effects of solution-focused and problem-focused coaching questions on the affect, attentional control, and cognitive flexibility of undergraduate students experiencing study-related stress. *The Journal of Positive Psychology*, 460–469. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2015.1117126>

Werner, E. W. (2000). Protective factors and individual resilience. In J. P. Shonkoff & S. J. Meisels (Eds.), *Handbook of early childhood intervention* (2nd ed., pp. 115–132). Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511529320.008>

Xenofontos, C., & Mouroutsou, S. (2023). Resilience in mathematics education research: A systematic review of empirical studies. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 67(7), 1041–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2022.2115132>

*CRediT Author Statement*

---

**Acknowledgements:** No.

**Funding:** This research did not receive any financial support.

**Conflicts of interest:** There is no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval:** The work respected ethics during the research.

**Data and material availability:** The data and materials used in the work are not publicly available for access.

**Authors' contributions:** 16.6% each author.

---

**Processing and editing: Editora Ibero-Americana de Educação**

Proofreading, formatting, normalisation and translation

